

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/479,886	01/10/2000	TAKASHI KAKIUCHI	G5030.0013/P 3652		
24998 759 DICKSTEIN SHA		EXAMINER			
1825 EYE STREE			LAROSE, COLIN M		
Washington, DC	20006-3403		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	HS	01/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/479,886		KAKIUCHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Colin M. Lal	Rose	2624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the d	over sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. atutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATIO t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 November 200	06.				
•		2b)⊠ This action is no					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		osecution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-28</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	 ✓ Claim(s) 13, 23 and 27 is/are rejected. 						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election red	quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		objected to by the	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any object	, ,					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	 ,	•	- '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	•					
,—	·	.,		•			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documer nal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat its have been receiv 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) cr No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/2006, 11/29/2006.		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	eate			

Application/Control Number: 09/479,886

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 November 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to newly-amended claims 1, 2, 7, 13, and 14 have been considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the previous rejections of these claims in view of Donelly have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

Application/Control Number: 09/479,886

Art Unit: 2624

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 13, 23, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 13 defines a "recording medium" embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" — Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed "recording medium" can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 13, 23, and 27 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-12, 14, and 16-22, and 24-26, and 28 are allowed.
- 5. As indicated above, Applicant's remarks regarding newly-amended independent claims 1,
- 2, 7, 13, and 14 are persuasive. The above claims are allowable for the reasons given by the Applicant in the Remarks dated 21 November 2006.

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Any inquiry

of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed

to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Colin M. LaRos Group Art Unit 2624

8 January 2007